Scorecard - Hearst Power Distribution Company Limited

Service Quality Service are provided in a manner that responds to distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the distillation Services are provided in a manner that responds to the services of the services are provided in a manner that responds to the services are provided in a manner that responds to the services of the services are provided in the services of the services of the services of the services are provided in the services of the s								,				Target	
Service are provided in a manner that responds to futcoming preferences. Service are provided in a manner that responds to futcoming preferences. Telephone Calls Answered On Time 96.70% 69.20% 87.30% 88.08% 99.00	Performance Outcomes	nes Performance Categories Measures			2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Trend	Industry	Distributor	
Telephone Calls Answered On Time	Customer Focus	Service Quality				100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	90.00%	
Telephone Calls Answerded On Time	manner that responds to identified customer		Scheduled Appointments Met On Time			100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	-	90.00%	
First Contact Resolution First Contact Resolution 98% 98% 9974% 99% 99% 998%			Telephone Calls Answered On Time			96.70%	89.20%	87.30%	87.30%	88.08%	0	65.00%	
Selling Recuratory Selling		Customer Satisfaction	First Contact Resolution			98%	98%	99.74%	99%	99%			
Department Effectiveness Safety Level of Public Awareness Level of Compliance with Ordinarie Regulation 22/04 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			Billing Accuracy			99.98%	99.89%	99.91%	99.96%	99.98%	0	98.00%	
Safety Level of Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 1			Customer Satisfaction Survey Results			97% Good	97% Good	96% Good	96% Good	96% Good			
Serious Electrical Number of General Public Incidents 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Continuous improvement in productivity and cost performance is achieved; and distributors deliver on system reliability and quality	Safety	Level of Public Awareness										
Incident Index Rate per 10, 100, 1000 km of line 0.000			Level of Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04			С	С	С	С	С			С
performance is achieved, and distributors deliver on system reliability and quality objectives. Asset Management Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress Submitted Established Est				Number of G	General Public Incidents				,		ALC: UNKNOWN		
Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is nobjectives. System Reliability and quality objectives. System Reliability Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted 2 National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internucted Established Established Established Established National Power Number of Times that Power to a Customer Subject of Subject of Subject of National Power Number of Subject of National Power Number of National Power Number of National Power Number of National Power National Power Number of National Power National Power Natio			Incident Index	Rate per 10,	100, 1000 km of line	line 0.000 0.000 0.000		0.000	0.000			0.000	
Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Internated 2		System Reliability		to a Customer is	0.98	2.00	2.19	4.33	2.67	O		3.65	
Efficiency Assessment Total Cost per Customer 3 S479 S579 S516 S529 S555 Total Cost per Km of Line 3 S19,161 S23,009 S20,531 S16,409 S15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Total Cost per Km of Line 3 S19,161 S23,009 S20,531 S16,409 S15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Total Cost per Km of Line 3 S19,161 S23,009 S20,531 S16,409 S15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Total Cost per Km of Line 3 S19,161 S23,009 S20,531 S16,409 S15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Total Cost per Km of Line 3 S19,161 S23,009 S20,531 S16,409 S15,419 S16,409 S15,419 S17,13% S19,00% S18,6Wh S18,6Wh S18,6Wh S18,6Wh S18,6Wh S19,6Wh S				that Power	to a Customer is	0.35	0.71	1.27	1.77	2.09	0		1.12
Cost Control Total Cost per Customer 3 \$479 \$579 \$516 \$529 \$555 Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$19,161 \$23,009 \$20,531 \$16,409 \$15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Distributors deliver on obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial directives to the Board). Financial Performance Financial Ratios Financial Ratios Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) 9.85% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19%		Asset Management	Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress			Submitted	Established	Established	Established	Established			
Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$19,161 \$23,009 \$20,531 \$16,409 \$15,419 Public Policy Responsiveness Distributors deliver on obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial directives to the Board). Financial Performance Financial viability is maintained; and savings from operational effectiveness are sustainable. Financial Ratios Total Cost per Km of Line 3 \$19,161 \$23,009 \$20,531 \$16,409 \$15,419 A7.50% \$154.51% \$174.13% \$190.00% \$3.18 GWh A7.50% \$154.51% \$174.13% \$190.00% \$3.18 GWh		Cost Control	Efficiency Assessment			1	2	2	2	2			
Public Policy Responsiveness Distributors deliver on obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial directives to the Board). Financial Performance Financial viability is maintained; and savings from operational effectiveness are sustainable. Conservation & Deemand Management Net Cumulative Energy Savings 4 Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed On Time New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time 1						\$479	\$579	\$516	\$529	\$555			
Distributors deliver on obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial directives to the Board). Financial Performance Financial viability is maintained; and savings from operational effectiveness are sustainable. Management Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed On Time New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) 2.27 2.22 2.14 2.34 2.38 Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) 9.85% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19%			Total Cost per Km of Line 3			\$19,161	\$23,009	\$20,531	\$16,409	\$15,419			
Connection of Renewable Generation Connection of Renewable Generation New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) Liquidity: Surrent Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities) Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Deemed (included in rates) Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Deturned Facility Completed On Time New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time 190.00% 2.27 2.22 2.14 2.34 2.34 2.38 Deemed (included in rates) Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Deturned Facility Deturned Facility Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) Detail Regulatory Deamed (included in rates) Detail Regulatory Deamed (included in rates) Detail Regulatory Deamed (included in rates)	obligations mandated by government (e.g., in legislation and in regulatory requirements imposed further to Ministerial		Net Cumulative Energy Savings ⁴				47.50%	154.51%	174.13%	190.00%			3.18 GWh
New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time Financial Performance Financial Ratios													
Financial viability is maintained; and savings from operational effectiveness are sustainable. Financial Ratios Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) 9.85% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19%			New Micro-embedded Ger							90.00%			
to Equity Ratio to Equity Ratio to Equity Ratio Deemed (included in rates) 9.85% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19%	Financial Performance	Financial Ratios	Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities)			2.27	2.22	2.14	2.34	2.38			
Profitability: Regulatory Deemed (included in rates) 9.65% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19% 9.19%	and savings from operational					0.38	0.40	0.39	0.38	0.37			
Return on Equity Achieved 24.31% -24.02% 8.33% 8.19% 6.77%					Deemed (included in rates)	9.85%	9.19%	9.19%	9.19%	9.19%			
			Return on Equity		Achieved	24.31%	-24.02%	8.33%	8.19%	6.77%	6		

^{1.} Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04 assessed: Compliant (C); Needs Improvement (NI); or Non-Compliant (NC).



^{2.} The trend's arrow direction is based on the comparison of the current 5-year rolling average to the distributor-specific target on the right. An upward arrow indicates decreasing reliability while downward indicates improving reliability.

^{3.} A benchmarking analysis determines the total cost figures from the distributor's reported information.

^{4.} The CDM measure is based on the 2015-2020 Conservation First Framework. 2018 results are based on the IESO's unverified savings values contained in the March 2019 Participation and Cost Report.

Annex A - 2018 Scorecard Management Discussion and Analysis ("2018 Scorecard MD&A")

The link below provides a document titled "Scorecard - Performance Measure Descriptions" that has the technical definition, plain language description and how the measure may be compared for each of the Scorecard's measures in the 2018 Scorecard MD&A: http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/ Documents/scorecard/Scorecard Performance Measure Descriptions.pdf

Scorecard MD&A - General Overview

- In 2018, Hearst Power Distribution Company Ltd. (HPDC) exceeded all performance targets when compared to the industry standards.
- In 2019, the company expects to maintain, or increase where possible, its overall scorecard performance results as compared to prior years. HPDC expects similar or better performance as a result of enhanced system reliability due to the company's major investment in its distribution system plant, as per HPDC's implemented Distribution System Plan, and continued responsiveness to customer feedback.

Service Quality

- New Residential/Small Business Services Connected on Time
 - In 2018, HPDC connected all low-voltage residential and small business customers on-time. As usual, HPDC views customer connections as a top priority and continues to exceed the 90% OEB-mandated threshold.
- Scheduled Appointments Met On Time
 - HPDC scheduled 640 appointments in 2018 which have been 100% completed on-time and as required. HPDC continues to exceed the industry target of 90%. The work requests include, but is not limited to, disconnection, reconnection, electrical line locates, etc.
- Telephone Calls Answered On Time
 - In 2018, HPDC received 931 calls, of which 820 were answered within 30 seconds. That is an annual 88.08% achievement for HPDC, which is significantly greater than the 65% OEB-mandated target.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 1 of 7

Customer Satisfaction

First Contact Resolution

99% of telephone calls and written inquiries were resolved on first contact with Hearst Power representatives (No follow up required).

Billing Accuracy

For the period of January 1st, 2018 to December 31st, 2018, HPDC issued 33,250 bills and achieved a billing accuracy of 99.98%. This compares favorably to the prescribed OEB target of 98%. HPDC continues to monitor its billing accuracy results and processes to identify opportunities for improvement.

Customer Satisfaction Survey Results

The customer survey was developed in 2014 through a collaborative effort of, Hearst Power Distribution Company Limited Inc., Hydro Hawkesbury Inc., Hydro 2000 Inc., Cooperative Hydro Embrun, Renfrew Hydro and Ottawa River Power Corporation ("the Group"). The Group was assisted by Tandem Energy Services Inc. for developing the survey. The main purpose of the collaborative effort was to minimize the cost of the survey by the sharing of intellect and resources.

In the year 2016, HPDC conducted its customer satisfaction survey amongst all customer classes, where clients were given a possibility to express their needs and opinions by completing an online or hard copy survey. Out of 2,704 HPDC customers, 336 or 12.4% responded to the survey. The results identified 96% overall good or better performance.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 2 of 7

Safety

Public Safety

Component A – Public Awareness of Electrical Safety

In 2017, HPDC conducted a Safety Awareness survey amongst all its customers, which resulted in an overall score of 78%, which is an increase of 2% compared with the previous year. The survey was developed by the Electrical Safety Association to monitor the efforts and impact LDCs are having on improving public electrical safety. HPDC also continues to publish educational communiqués, which provides written information to the general public on electrical subjects via newspapers and our website. This program was developed to keep customers educated & informed on electrical safety, and to provide some background on the complex electrical sector.

Component B – Compliance with Ontario Regulation 22/04

In 2018, HPDC was found to be compliant, once again, with Ontario Regulation 22/04 (Electrical Distribution Safety). This was achieved by our strong commitment to safety, and adherence to company procedures & policies. Ontario Regulation 22/04 - *Electrical Distribution Safety* establishes objective based electrical safety requirements for the design, construction, and maintenance of electrical distribution systems owned by licensed distributors.

Component C – Serious Electrical Incident Index

Over the past five years, HPDC did not have any serious electrical incident.

System Reliability

Average Number of Hours that Power to a Customer is Interrupted

In 2018, HPDC's average number of hours of power interruption to a customer was 2.67, a decrease of 1.66 over 2017 and a decrease of 0.98 over the past four year's average of 3.65. Although it decreased from the previous year, this ratio still does not compare favorably with the Adjusted Industry system reliability indicators for 2018, which is 1.48 (SAIFI) for the whole Province of Ontario. HPDC expects a status quo for next year as the Distribution General Plant will continue to be refurbished as planned.

Average Number of Times that Power to a Customer is Interrupted

In 2018, HPDC's average number of times of power interruption to a customer was 2.09, which is a slight increase compared to last's year 1.77 and the past four years' average of 1.12. It is mainly due to increased planned outages for the improvements and the refurbishment of our outside plant. However, this ratio compares favorably with the Adjusted Industry system reliability indicators for 2018, which is 2.59 (SAIDI) for the whole Province of Ontario.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 3 of 7

As per our implemented Distribution System Plan, the continued asset surveys and the replacement of deteriorated assets contributed greatly to maintaining a satisfactory average.

Asset Management

• Distribution System Plan Implementation Progress

HPDC's Distribution System Plan (DSP) was built in 2014 for the period of 2015 to 2020. The plan, which was included with our 2014 OEB rate application, was implemented in 2015 and included a pole replacement program. The pole replacement program was built after a pole survey that identified the pole assets that were in bad condition and in need of replacement. This pole survey showed that over 100 poles were in poor or below average condition.

The main driver for the pole replacement program is the risk of plant failing in service and creating long outages for customers, and added O&M costs for the utility. This is intensified if there are simultaneous failures, and if the failures are the result of weather stressors such as high winds. Also, there are some safety benefits to doing the pole replacement project. First is the reduction of the possibility of poles falling in adverse weather and causing accidents or damage to property. Second is the safety related to the potential loss of power during extreme cold weather and the loss of heat for an extended period of time.

The 5 year Distribution System Plan was implemented in 2015, and in the fourth year (2018), an increase in capital spending was required due to additional poles replacement required and vehicle replacement due to collision.

Cost Control

Efficiency Assessment

HPDC received an efficiency assessment of 2 for the year 2018. The efficiency rating remained the same compared to last year which means that actual costs continued to be 10% to 25% below predicted costs. No changes are expected in the next year.

Total Cost per Customer

As shown in the 2018 Scorecard, HPDC's total cost per customer is \$555. This number is an increase of 26\$ compared to the previous year but a decrease of \$24 compared to 2015. No significant changes are expected in the next year.

Total Cost per Km of Line

In 2018, HPDC had an average of 28 customers per Km of line (includes primary and secondary circuits) which reflected a total cost of \$15,419 per Km of line (decrease of 990\$ compared to 2017). No material changes are expected in the next year.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 4 of 7

Conservation & Demand Management

Net Cumulative Energy Savings

HPDC achieved net cumulative energy savings of 190% on its 2015 - 2020 target (3.18 GWh) by the end of its 4th year. With these results, HPDC is ranked 1st out of all the LDCs in the Province for its performance on Target vs Achieved GWh.

Connection of Renewable Generation

Renewable Generation Connection Impact Assessments Completed on Time

Electricity distributors are required to conduct Connection Impact Assessments (CIAs) within 60 days of receiving authorization from the Electrical Safety Authority. In 2018, HPDC did not complete any CIA since the transmission line in our area has been constrained and can't accept any new loads since 2012. Hydro One does not anticipate any expansion of that line in the near future; therefore, HPDC forecasts the same for next year.

New Micro-embedded Generation Facilities Connected On Time

As discussed above, the transmission line is constrained in our area, therefore no new micro-embedded generation facilities were connected in 2018. The same is forecasted for next year.

Financial Ratios

Liquidity: Current Ratio (Current Assets/Current Liabilities)

As an indicator of financial health, a current ratio that is greater than 1 is considered good as it indicates that the company can pay its short term debts and financial obligations. Companies with a ratio of greater than 1 are often referred to as being "liquid". The higher the number, the more "liquid" and the larger the margin of safety to cover the company's short-term debts and financial obligations.

HPDC's current ratio slightly increased from 2.34 in 2017 to 2.38 in 2018. This is not indicative of an incline in financial performance but rather the result of investments in the distribution and general plant. HPDC's ratio for subsequent years is expected to be stable between 2.0 to 2.5. Large capital investments continue to be required in the distribution plant and will be implemented as per the 2015-2020 Distribution System Plan.

• Leverage: Total Debt (includes short-term and long-term debt) to Equity Ratio

The OEB uses a deemed capital structure of 60% debt, 40% equity for electricity distributors when establishing rates. This deemed capital mix is equal to a debt to equity ratio of 1.5 (60/40). HPDC had a debt to equity ratio of 0.37 in 2018 which is similar to the previous year (0.38). HPDC forecasts similar debt to equity ratio in the future year.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 5 of 7

• Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity – Deemed (included in rates)

HPDC's current distribution rates were approved by the OEB to be effective as of May 1st, 2018 and include an expected (deemed) regulatory return on equity of 9.19% for 2018.

The OEB allows a distributor to earn within +/- 3% of the expected return on equity. When a distributor performs outside of this range, the actual performance may trigger a regulatory review of the distributor's revenues and costs structure by the OEB.

Profitability: Regulatory Return on Equity – Achieved

HPDC's return on equity achieved in 2018 was 6.77%, which is within the +/-3% range allowed by the OEB.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 6 of 7

Note to Readers of 2018 Scorecard MD&A

The information provided by distributors on their future performance (or what can be construed as forward-looking information) may be subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual events, conditions or results to differ materially from historical results or those contemplated by the distributor regarding their future performance. Some of the factors that could cause such differences include legislative or regulatory developments, financial market conditions, general economic conditions and the weather. For these reasons, the information on future performance is intended to be management's best judgment on the reporting date of the performance scorecard, and could be markedly different in the future.

2018 Scorecard MD&A Page 7 of 7